

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tra __nark Offic Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

•		To STATES OF JAP	
APPLICATION NUMBER FILIN	4G DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.
09/777,874	02/07/01	CLAUDIO	C 200427US0C0
			EXAMINER
022850	o balonomia per la percis	HM12/0702	
OBLUN SPIVAR FOURTH FLOOF		D MAIER & NEUSTADT	PAPER NUMBER
1755 JEFFERS		IGHWAY	4
ARLINGTON VA	4 22202		1615 DATE MAILED:
			07/02/01
This is a communication from the COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS A	examiner in charge of AND TRADEMARKS	your application.	
	OF	FICE ACTION SUMMARY	÷ ,
Responsive to communication	(s) filed on		
This action is FINAL.			
Since this application is in con accordance with the practice u	idition for allowance under <i>Ex parte Qua</i> y	except for formal matters, prosec //e, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	eution as to the merits is closed in
a application to become abandor 36(a).	ned. (35 U.S.C. § 13	33). Extensions of time may be of	hin the period for response will cause otained under the provisions of 37 CFR
36(a). sposition of Claims	BEST A	33). Extensions of time may be of	otained under the provisions of 37 CFR
36(a). sposition of Claims Claim(s) 11 - 30	BEST A	33). Extensions of time may be of	PYis/are pending in the applicationis/are withdrawn from consideration.
36(a). sposition of Claims Claim(s) 1(3 0 Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s)	BEST A	AVAILABLE CO	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed.
36(a). sposition of Claims Claim(s)(13 O	BEST A	AVAILABLE CO	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
36(a). sposition of Claims Claim(s)(BEST A	AVAILABLE CO	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed.
Sposition of Claims Claim(s) 1(- 30 Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) 1(- 30 Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	BEST A	AVAILABLE CO	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
Sposition of Claims Claim(s) 1(- 30 Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) 1(- 30 Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	BEST A	AVAILABLE CO	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
36(a). Sposition of Claims Claim(s)(BEST A	AVAILABLE CO au Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objectives.	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement.
36(a). sposition of Claims Claim(s)(BEST A	AVAILABLE CO au Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objectives.	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement.
36(a). sposition of Claims Claim(s)(aftsperson's Patent tion, filed on o by the Examiner.	AVAILABLE CO au Drawing Review, PTO-948is/are object	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement.
36(a). Sposition of Claims Claim(s)(1	aftsperson's Patent tion, filed on o by the Examiner.	AVAILABLE CO au Drawing Review, PTO-948is/are object	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement.
Sposition of Claims Claim(s)(aftsperson's Patent tion, filed on obtained to by the Examiner.	AVAILABLE CO au Drawing Review, PTO-948is/are object	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) 1(-30) Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) 1(-30) Claim(s) 1(-30) Claim(s) 1(-30) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Oplication Papers See the attached Notice of Drathe drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correct The specification is objected to The oath or declaration is objected to Incompared to the order of the oath or declaration is objected to Control of the oath or declaration is objected to the oath or declar	aftsperson's Patent tion, filed on o by the Examiner. ected to by the Exam	AVAILABLE CO a Drawing Review, PTO-948is/are object	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement.
36(a). Sposition of Claims Claim(s) 1(-30) Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) 1(-30) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Drathe drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correct The specification is objected to The oath or declaration is objected to Incomplete the order of the specification of the oath or declaration of the oath or declaration is objected to Acknowledgment is made of a	aftsperson's Patent tion, filed on o by the Examiner. ected to by the Exam	AVAILABLE CO au Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are object iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement.
36(a). Sposition of Claims Claim(s)(l	aftsperson's Patent tion, filed on to by the Examiner. ected to by the Exam to claim for foreign pr of the CERTIFIE to (Series Code/Series)	AVAILABLE CO Available CO a Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are object is/are object iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) D copies of the priority documents al Number)	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
36(a). Sposition of Claims Claim(s)	aftsperson's Patent tion, filed on to by the Examiner. ected to by the Exam to claim for foreign pr of the CERTIFIE to (Series Code/Series)	AVAILABLE CO Prawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objection. iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) D copies of the priority documents	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Attachment(s)

Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Application/Control Number: 09/777,874

Art Unit: :1615

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary amendment dated 2-7-01 is acknowledged.

Claims included in the prosecution are 11-30.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 16, 18, 21, 23, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what applicant intends to convey by 'a natural product or extract containing hydroxy citric acid or salt or ester thereof in claim 16.

'the fruit' in claim 18 lacks an antecedent basis in claim 16.

What is 'vegetal fiber' as recited in claim 21?

What is a 'semisolid' or 'semiliquid' as recited in claim 23?

What is being conveyed by 'in a vial suitable for oral or rectal or topical administration" as recited in claim 24?

Application/Control Number: 09/777,874 Page 3

Art Unit: :1615

It is unclear what applicants' intend to convey by 'facilitating the metabolism of lipids' in claim 26. Is it in normal humans? Also, metabolism includes both catabolism and anabolism. Which one is being referred to? In what tissue?

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claim 30 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,217,898. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because instant generic claim encompasses the species of the components and their ratios claimed in the claims of said patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,874 Page 4

Art Unit: :1615

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 11-15, 19-21, 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wiegand (3,810,994) or Burtle (5,030,657).

Weigand teaches compositions containing carnitine or esters of carnitine and pantothenic acid for the treatment of obesity, reduced caloric intake and facilitate the fatty acid metabolism (note the abstract, columns 2-3 and claims).

Burtle teaches compositions containing carnitine or esters of carnitine and pantothenic acid (note the abstract, column 7 and claims).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention

was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 11-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weigand cited above by itself or further in view of Moffett (5,536,516).

Weigand teaches that the anti-obesity compound can be administered along with other anti-obesity compounds (column 3). Weigand however, does not specifically teach that compound to be hydroxy citrate or that hydroxycitrate be in the form of Garcinia extract. The use of an art known anti-obese agent such as hydroxycitrate in combination with carnitine would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since Weigand advocates such a use. An artisan would be further motivated to use hydroxycitrate or hydroxycitrate containing Garcinia extract since Moffet teaches that this compound is known to reduce the body weight and therefore prepares a concentrate rich in this compound from Garcinia (note the abstract, col. 1, line 5 et seq.)

9. Claims 11-15, 19-20 and 22-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weigand cited above further in view of Leung (5,039,698).

As pointed out above, Weigand teaches that the anti-obesity compound can be administered along with other anti-obesity compounds (column 3). It is unclear from Weigand whether he considers pantothenic acid also taught to be anti-obese compound. The use of an art known anti-obese agent such as a pantothenate in combination with carnitine would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since Weigand

advocates such a use. An artisan would be further motivated to use pantothenate since

Leung teaches that this compound is a known weight reducing agent (note the abstract and

claims).

10. Claims 11-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hastings (5,626,849) by itself or in view of Wiegand (3,810,994), Burtle (5,030,657) individually or in combination.

Hastings teaches dry formulations containing calcium salt of hydroxy citric acid, L-carnitine salt, Chromium, antioxidants and other components for weight loss (note the abstract, columns 1-5, examples and claims). Although Hastings does not specifically teach that the composition is in the form of semi-solid, semi-liquids, such is inherent since Hastings teaches the mixing of the composition with a liquid and depending upon the dissolvability of the composition, one would end up with a semi-solid composition. As pointed out above, Hastings teaches the oral administration of a composition containing carnitine and hydroxy citric acid. Hastings' does not however, teach various forms of the composition, such as a tablet or capsule or other claimed forms. It is deemed obvious to an artisan to select a proper form of the composition from Hastings' oral administration of the same composition, to obtain the best possible results. Hastings does not teach alkanoyl-carnitine. It would however be obvious to an artisan to use various forms of carnitine from Hastings' teachings with the expectation of obtaining at least similar results since the active agent is carnitine.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,874 Page 7

Art Unit: :1615

Weigand teaches compositions containing carnitine or esters of carnitine and pantothenic acid for the treatment of obesity. The composition can be administered or ally or parenterally (note the abstract, columns 2-3 and claims).

Burtle teaches compositions containing carnitine or esters of carnitine and pantothenic acid (note the abstract, column 7 and claims).

The use of various forms of carnitine such as esters instead of carnitine itself as taught by Hastings would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since the references of Burtle and Wiegand show that the esters of carnitine are known to be used for the treatment of obesity; one would expect at least similar results.

11. Claims 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hastings (5,626,849) by itself or in view of Wiegand (3,810,994), Burtle (5,030,657) individually or in combination, further in view of applicant's statements of prior art.

Hastings, Wiegland and Burtle do not teach the addition of hydroxy citric acid in the form of a natural plant extract containing said acid. In the absence of showing the criticality, it is deemed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the claimed extracts which according to applicant are well known extracts containing the hydroxy citric acid (page 4 of the specification), with the expectation of obtaining at least similar effect as that observed with the hydroxy citric acid itself.

12. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hastings cited above by itself, or in view of Wiegand (3,810,994), Burtle (5,030,657)

individually or in combination, further in view of Weiner (1989) by itself or in

combination with Stracher (5,008,288).

The references of Hastings, Wiegand, Burtle do not teach the administration of the composition in liposomes as vehicles.

The use of liposomes as carriers for the composition containing carnitine would have been obvious to an artisan since Weiner teaches the advantages of liposomes as drug delivery devices (note page 1523 and 1553) and also in view of the art known use of liposomally encapsulated carnitine derivatives as evident from Stracher (note the abstract). Applicant has not shown any unexpected results.

13. Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiegand or Burtle; or Wiegand in view of Moffett: or Hastings (5,626,849) by itself or in view of Wiegand (3,810,994), Burtle (5,030,657) individually or in combination as set forth above, further in view of Cavazza (4,268,524).

Weigand, Burtle, Moffett and Hastings do not explicitly teach that the carnitine derivatives lower cholesterol and triglyceride levels.

Cavazza teaches that acetylcarnitine lowers both cholesterol and triglyceride levels (note the entire patent). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the compositions taught by Wiegand, Burtle, Moffett and Hastings would lower cholesterol

and triglycerides and therefore could be used for hypertriglyceridaemia and hypercholestolaemia.

The references cited were cited in applicant's prior patent.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *G.S. Kishore* whose telephone number is (703) 308-2440.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.K. Page, can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)305-3592.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [thurman.page@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility

that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1235.

Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph. D

Primary Examiner

Group 1600

gsk

June 28, 2001